The American Wood Council (AWC) and Canadian Wood Council (CWC) are pleased to present this Environmental Product Declaration (EPD) for North American laminated veneer lumber (LVL). This EPD was developed in compliance with ISO 14025 and ISO 21930 and has been verified under UL Environment’s EPD program.

The EPD includes Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) results for all processes up to the point that LVL is packaged and ready for shipment at the manufacturing gate. The cradle-to-gate product system includes forest management, logging, transportation of logs to veneer mills and veneer to LVL plants, veneer production, drying, pressing, and final trimming.

The AWC and CWC represent wood product manufacturers across North America. Our organizations have undertaken numerous sustainability initiatives on behalf of our membership and we are pleased to present this document to show how we are doing. The publication of this EPD, which is based on rigorous LCA research, is our effort to back up with science what we know to be true – that wood products stand alone as a green building material.

Please follow our sustainability initiatives at: [www.awc.org](http://www.awc.org) and [www.cwc.ca](http://www.cwc.ca)
This declaration is an environmental product declaration in accordance with ISO 14025. This EPD does not guarantee that any performance benchmarks, including environmental performance benchmarks, are met. EPDs are intended to compliment Type I environmental performance labels. EPDs provide LCA-based information and additional information on the environmental aspects of products and assist purchasers and users to make informed comparisons between products. EPDs are not comparative assertions. EPDs encourage improvement of environmental performance and provide information for assessing the environmental impacts of products over their life cycle. EPDs not based on an LCA covering all life cycle stages, or based on a different PCR, are examples of declarations that have limited comparability. EPDs from different programs may not be comparable.
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**CONTENTS OF THE DECLARATION**
- Product definition and information about building physics
- Information about basic material and the material’s origin
- Description of the product’s manufacture
- Indication of product processing
- Information about the in-use conditions
- Life cycle assessment results
- Testing results and verifications
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This declaration was independently verified by Underwriters Laboratories in accordance with ISO 14025
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Loretta Tam, EPD Program Manager

This life cycle assessment was independently verified by in accordance with ISO 14044 and the reference PCR

Thomas P. Gloria, Ph. D., Industrial Ecology Consultants
Description of Industry and Product

Description of North American Laminated Veneer Lumber Industry

Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL) is one of a group of wood products collectively classified as structural composite lumber. It is commonly manufactured in North America by companies that also manufacture I-joists. LVL is manufactured to sizes compatible with the depth of I-joist framing members for use as beams and headers. Additionally, some manufacturers further cut LVL into sizes for use as chord-members on I-joists. Because many LVL mills are co-located with I-joist manufacturing facilities, good jobs are being added, often to rural areas. In 2012, North American LVL manufacturers produced more than 43.4 million cubic feet (1.2 million cubic meters) of LVL in 18 different facilities.

Because it is specifically sized to work with I-joist floor framing, residential builders and building designers like the combination of I-joist and LVL floor and roof assemblies. LVL is a highly reliable building material that provides many of the same attributes associated with large sized timbers. Today, manufacturers are looking for ways to further improve these products, despite their already impressive environmental impacts.
**Description of LVL Product**

The product profile presented in this EPD is for a declared unit of 1 cubic meter of LVL. LVL is manufactured by peeling sheets of veneer from log “blocks”, which are then dried, combined with resin and pressed, and trimmed to specified dimensions. The pressed and cured LVL products are then packaged for shipment.

One cubic meter of average North American LVL weighs 545.87 kg, excluding the variable moisture content. The product composition is presented below and represents the weighted average of the various resin types that are used by different manufacturers:

- Wood: 545.87 oven dry kg (97.54%)
- Phenol formaldehyde resin: 11.57 kg (2.41%)
- Phenol resorcinol formaldehyde resin: 0.14 kg (0.02%)
- Fillers: 0.09 kg (0.03%)

This EPD is based on LCA studies that considered the entire range of LVL product sizes and functions. The results are presented for the metric unit of measure, 1 cubic meter, which is equal to 35.31 cubic feet.
Cradle-to-Gate Life Cycle of LVL

Figure 1: Cradle-to-gate product system for LVL
Business-to-Business EPD and Cradle-to-Gate LCA

Business-to-business EPDs are those that focus on the life cycle up to the point that the product has been manufactured and is ready for shipment, the portion of the life cycle referred to as cradle-to-gate. This EPD includes the cradle-to-gate processes as shown in Figure 1 on the previous page.

The delivery of the product to the customer, its use, and eventual end-of-life processing are excluded from the cradle-to-gate portion of the life cycle. This exclusion limits the accounting of carbon sequestration in the wood product because the benefit of sequestration is not realized at the point of manufacturing, but occurs over the life cycle of the product.

Forest Operations

The assessment of the life cycle impacts of a wood product begins with its origin in natural or managed forests and the energy use and emissions caused by its extraction. Forest management and the reforestation that occurs after extraction are also included. The PCR requires that the cradle-to-gate product system include all forest management activities which may include site preparation, thinning, and fertilization. The forest operations portion of the resource extraction/generation phase also includes the production and planting of seedlings that occur after logging.

LVL Production

The LVL production phase begins with the transportation of logs to veneer mills and veneer to LVL plants. At these facilities, logs are peeled to produce sheets of veneer that are then dried, pressed, and trimmed. These processes consume electricity drawn from regional grids, fossil fuel, and internally generated biomass.
Methodology of Underlying LCA

Declared Unit

The declared unit in this EPD is 1 cubic meter ($m^3$) of LVL. This is equivalent to 35.31 cubic feet. The average density of North American LVL including resins and excluding moisture content is 545.87 oven dry kg/$m^3$. LVL produced in North America is understood to have some moisture in the product, while the oven dry unit of measure contains neither free moisture (moisture in cell cavities) nor bound moisture (moisture in cell walls).

System Boundaries

The system boundary begins with the forest management and resource extraction and ends with finished LVL ready for shipment at the manufacturer. The forest resources system boundary includes planting the seedlings, site preparation, thinning, fertilization, and final harvest. LVL production includes veneer production at veneer mills and LVL plants, drying, pressing, and final trimming. Seedlings, and the fertilizer and electricity it took to grow them, were also considered in the system boundary.

Cut-Off Rules

The cut-off criteria for flows to be considered within the system boundary are as follows:

- Mass – if a flow is less than 1% of the cumulative mass of the model flows, it may be excluded, provided its environmental relevance is minor.

- Energy – if a flow is less than 1% of the cumulative energy of the system model, it may be excluded, provided its environmental relevance is minor.

- Environmental relevance – if a flow meets the above two criteria, but is determined (via secondary data analysis) to contribute 2% or more to the selected impact categories of the products underlying the EPD, based on a sensitivity analysis, it is included within the system boundary.
**Data Quality**

**Precision and Completeness**

Primary data on raw materials, energy, and emissions were provided by logging operations and LVL manufacturing facilities, based on input purchases, production output, and reported process emissions. All upstream and downstream secondary data were drawn from publicly available databases, primarily the United States Life Cycle Inventory (USLCI) database. The LCA practitioners performed quality control on all secondary data sources to ensure completeness.

All inventory flows were modeled and at no time were data excluded due to application of the studies’ cut-off criteria.

**Consistency and Reproducibility**

To ensure consistency, only primary data as provided by the study participants were used to model gate-to-gate LVL manufacturing processes. All other secondary data (upstream and downstream) were consistently applied and adaptations to the databases were documented in the LCA reports.

Reproducibility by third parties is possible using the background LCIs documented in the CORRIM and Athena LCA reports.

**Temporal Coverage**

Primary data collected from the manufacturing facilities related to the product processes of interest are representative for the years 2004-2012. The previously completed LCA models were updated in 2012 to reflect updates in underlying secondary data used to develop the LCI.

**Geographical Coverage**

The geographical coverage for this study is based on North American (NA) system boundaries for all processes and products.

**Treatment of Biogenic Carbon**

Biogenic carbon dioxide emissions were accounted as global warming neutral in accordance with the PCR. Under this approach, the carbon dioxide emissions from the combustion of internally generated wood fuels are considered equal to the carbon dioxide uptake in the forest during tree growth.

Crediting carbon sequestration against the global warming potential was excluded as the long term carbon storage is dependent on gate-to-grave processes not considered directly in this EPD. The expected carbon sequestration for average end-use and end-of-life treatment is provided in the section on “Additional Information.”
Allocation

Allocation followed the requirements and guidance of ISO 14044:2006, clause 4.3.4, which gives preference to mass-based allocation, and the following description of allocation from the PCR:

- Allocation of multi-output processes shall be based on mass. However, if economic value difference is at least ten times greater between products from a multi-output process, a suitable revenue-based allocation principle shall be applied and these deviations shall be substantiated and readily available for review.

The LVL co-products fall within this 10 times value threshold and were thus allocated on a mass basis.

Aggregation of Regional Results

The LCA results that follow represent the weighted average of three different LCA studies; one for each of the two primary American manufacturing regions and one Canadian average study. The three regions and their weighting relative to the aggregate profile are as follows:

- United States - Pacific Northwest: 24%
- United States - Southeast: 70%
- Canada - National Average: 6%

The weighting factors were developed from the relative annual production of the three manufacturing regions. The United States weight is based on the production totals for the years 2001-2009, which is representative of the data vintage that underlies those two studies. The regional weights are based on the production of plywood in the same period as a surrogate for LVL production which is not tracked regionally. The Canadian weight is based on the 2010 production year to represent the more recent data that were used in that study. The selection of 2010 for the Canadian weighting is also conservative because North American LVL production was lower in that year than in the preceding years. This means that the potential Canadian impacts, which are generally lower than those of the American regions, is weighted less than if the same production years were selected for all weight derivations.

In addition to calculating weighted average impact assessment results, these weighting factors were also used to calculate the weighted average density of North American LVL. All other values presented in this EPD also utilize this weighting.
Life Cycle Assessment Results

The life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) establishes links between the life cycle inventory results and potential environmental impacts. In the LCIA, results are calculated for impact category indicators such as global warming potential and smog potential. These impact category indicator results provide general, but quantifiable, indications of potential environmental impacts. The various impact category indicators and means of characterizing the impacts are summarized in the table below. Environmental impacts are determined using the TRACI 2 method. These five impact categories are reported consistently with the requirements of the PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact Category Indicators</th>
<th>Characterization Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Warming Potential</td>
<td>Calculates global warming potential of all greenhouse gasses that are recognized by the IPCC. The characterization model scales substances that include methane and nitrous oxide to the common unit of kg CO₂ equivalents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone Depletion Potential</td>
<td>Calculates potential impact of all substances that contribute to stratospheric ozone depletion. The characterization model scales substances that include CFCs, HCFCs, chlorine, and bromine to the common unit of kg CFC-11 equivalents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidification Potential</td>
<td>Calculates potential impacts of all substances that contribute to terrestrial acidification potential. The characterization model scales substances that include sulfur oxides, nitrogen oxides, and ammonia to the common unit of H⁺ moles equivalents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smog Potential</td>
<td>Calculates potential impacts of all substances that contribute to photochemical smog potential. The characterization model scales substances that include nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds to the common unit of kg O₃ equivalents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophication Potential</td>
<td>Calculates potential impacts of all substances that contribute to eutrophication potential. The characterization model scales substances that include nitrates and phosphates to the common unit of kg N equivalents.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cradle-to-Gate Impact Assessment Results

The impact assessment results are shown in Table 2. This LCIA does not make value judgments about the impact indicators, meaning that no single indicator is given more or less value than any of the others. All are presented as equals. Additionally, each impact indicator value is stated in units that are not comparable to others. Some variations exist between the three underlying data sets and are a result of differences in regional energy mixes, particularly the sources of electricity, as well as differences in production practices and efficiencies.

The results presented below indicate the potential impacts caused by the cradle-to-gate production of LVL. Ozone depletion was below $10^{-5}$ kg CFC-11 eq. in all three of the LCA studies and is thus not reported in the results table. Water consumption was estimated for Canada as required by the PCR. However, the U.S. regional estimate includes all water withdrawals without netting out non-consumptive use. As a result, the combined weighted average overstates total water consumption and is therefore conservative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact category indicator</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Forestry operations</th>
<th>LVL production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global warming potential</td>
<td>kg CO$_2$ eq.</td>
<td>201.80</td>
<td>13.67</td>
<td>188.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidification potential</td>
<td>H+ moles eq.</td>
<td>107.70</td>
<td>9.61</td>
<td>98.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophication potential</td>
<td>kg N eq.</td>
<td>0.0855</td>
<td>0.0284</td>
<td>0.0571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone depletion potential</td>
<td>kg CFC-11 eq.</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smog potential</td>
<td>kg O$_3$ eq.</td>
<td>26.95</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>22.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total primary energy consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable fossil</td>
<td>MJ</td>
<td>3338.45</td>
<td>208.99</td>
<td>3129.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable nuclear</td>
<td>MJ</td>
<td>434.35</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>432.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable, biomass</td>
<td>MJ</td>
<td>3329.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3329.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable, other</td>
<td>MJ</td>
<td>84.29</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>84.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material resources consumption</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-renewable materials</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable materials</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>658.80</td>
<td>33.27</td>
<td>625.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh water</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>631.46</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>626.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-hazardous waste generated</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid waste</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>125.41</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>125.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impact Assessment Results by Life Stage

The two graphs below show that LVL manufacturing itself is the primary driver of impacts in the cumulative cradle-to-gate product system. LVL manufacturing consumes 94% of fossil fuels and 100% of biomass energy, which drive the impacts in every category.

Figure 2: Cradle-to-Gate Impact Assessment Results

Figure 3: Cradle-to-Gate Primary Energy Consumption
The three pie charts show the consumption of various energy resources in the cradle-to-gate portion of the life cycle. The cradle-to-gate and LVL production charts show similar results, as manufacturing consumes the bulk of cradle-to-gate energy.

The forest operations portion of the life cycle relies heavily on oil-based energy as consumed in the form of diesel by heavy machinery. Oil accounts for 78% of energy resources consumed in forestry operations.

A significant portion of the energy requirement in manufacturing is met by renewable energy sources, including 49% from biomass and 1% from hydropower. This translates to 47% of cradle-to-gate energy use for biomass and 1% for hydropower. The biomass consumption is used exclusively in the veneer drying process while the hydropower use is due to electricity that is consumed throughout the cradle-to-gate product system. Coal, natural gas, oil, and nuclear compose the remaining energy use.

The prevalence of renewable energy use in the life cycle of LVL means that 46% of energy consumption is derived from fossil fuel sources. This means that LVL has a particularly low carbon footprint relative to the energy required for manufacturing.
Additional Information

Range of Applications

LVL is used primarily in residential and non-residential construction, and as an input into products like wood I-joists.

The breakdown of LVL end uses in North America are as follows:

- New single family residential construction: 33%
- Residential upkeep and improvement: 25%
- New non-residential construction: 8%
- Manufacturing furniture and other products: 34%

Carbon Sequestration

The PCR requires that carbon sequestration may only be credited to the product if the end-of-life fate of that carbon is considered in the LCA study. FPInnovations has recently published a carbon sequestration calculation tool that estimates the emissions from typical end-of-life treatment of wood products that includes recycling, combustion, and landfilling. The carbon sequestered in the product at the manufacturing gate serves as the basis for such an analysis and is as follows (all conversion factors and assumptions are documented in carbon tool):

1m³ LVL = 534.06 oven dry kg = 267.03 kg Carbon = 979.11 kg CO₂ eq.

This initial carbon sequestration may then be considered against its emission as the LVL product reaches the end of its service life in various applications. The FPI carbon tool is used to estimate the biogenic carbon balance at year 100, including service life estimations for various applications and the average landfill decay rate. The carbon tool gives the following results:

Carbon sequestered in product at manufacturing gate:
979.11 kg CO₂ eq. = - 979.11 kg CO₂ eq. emission

Methane emitted from fugitive landfill gas:
5.42 kg CH₄ = 135.50 kg CO₂ eq. emission

Carbon dioxide emitted from fugitive landfill gas and the combustion of waste and captured landfill gas:
297.64 kg CO₂ eq. emission

**Carbon sequestration at year 100, net of biogenic carbon emissions:**
546.07 kg CO₂ eq. = - 546.07 kg CO₂ eq. emission
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